

http://www.state.in.us/iurc/

Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

Office: (317) 232-2701

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764

> PETITION OF PSI ENERGY, INC. FOR AUTHORITY TO FILED INCREASE ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC) SERVICE; FOR APPROVAL OF NEW SCHEDULES) JUL 1 4 2005 OF RATES AND CHARGES AND OF RULES AND REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO SUCH RATES AND INDIANA UTILITY CHARGES: FOR THE AUTHORITY TO REFLECT ITS REGULATORY COMMISSION **OUALIFIED POLLUTION CONTROL PROPERTY AND** OTHER NEW PLANT AND EQUIPMENT IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES; FOR APPROVAL OF ITS IMPLEMEN-TATION OF THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY) CAUSE NO. 42359 COMMISSION'S SEVEN-FACTOR TEST; FOR APPROVAL OF VARIOUS RATE TRACKING MECHANISMS.

You are hereby notified that on this date the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") has caused the following entry to be made:

INCLUDING A PROPOSED MIDWEST INDEPENDENT TRANSMISSION SYSTEM OPERATOR MANAGEMENT COST ADJUSTMENT RIDER AND CONTINUED USE OF A PURCHASED POWER TRACKING MECHANISM; AND FOR APPROVAL OF RELATED ACCOUNTING TREAT-

MENT AND DEPRECIATION RATES AND OTHER ACCOUNTING RELIEF RELATIVE TO ITS BUSINESS

In its Final Order issued in this matter on May 18, 2004, the Commission made certain determinations regarding PSI Energy, Inc's., ("PSI") Real Time Pricing ("RTP") program set-forth in Standard Contract Rider No. 21 ("Rider No. 21"). In finding that PSI met its burden of proof with respect to termination of the program, the Commission also recognized that innovative rate options, such as real time pricing, are important. Therefore, while finding that Rider No. 21 should be allowed to terminate without further action on the part of the Commission, the Commission also indicated that the parties should consider ways that Rider No. 21 could be redesigned or modified in order to create an effective RTP program. In order to allow the parties to explore the development of a possible replacement for Rider No. 21, the Commission indicated that a collaborative process should be undertaken by the parties to this proceeding and that during the collaborative process the current RTP program shall remain in place. The Commission further indicated that, at the conclusion of this process, PSI shall file a report detailing the efforts and outcome of the collaborative.

On April 29, 2005, PSI filed its *Real Time Pricing Collaborative Report* ("Report") with the Commission. The Report included a revised Standard Contract Rider No. 21 ("Revised Rider No. 21") that was developed during the collaborative process required by the Final Order. As indicated by PSI in its Report, the Revised Rider No. 21 would replace the current Rider No. 21 which is set to expire on July 31, 2005.

On May 18, 2005, the PSI Industrial Group filed its Response to PSI's Pricing Report and Objection to Proposed New RTP Rate ("Objection"). On May 25, 2005, Steel Dynamics, Inc., filed a Motion for Joinder in Support of PSI-Industrial Group's Response to PSI's Real Time Pricing Report and Objection to Proposed New RTP Rate ("Motion for Joinder"). On May 27, 2005, PSI filed its Reply to the Objection and Motion for Joinder. While the need to file a Report was specifically required by the Final Order, additional filings in response to the Report were not required or contemplated by the Final Order. In addition, the parties did not request leave from the Commission prior to making any of these additional filings.

The Presiding Officers having reviewed the Final Order in this Cause and the Report filed by PSI, in which it indicates that it met extensively with the parties to this proceeding in a collaborative process that resulted in the development of a Revised Rider No. 21, finds that the parties have complied with the terms of the Final Order with respect to their examination of RTP issues. Further action on the part of the Commission is not necessary, as the Final Order set-forth the specific findings and requirements made by the Commission on this issue. While the Presiding Officers recognize that the collaborative process did not result in consensus on the RTP issues referenced in the Final Order, the parties are reminded that the Commission continues to believe that innovative rate options, such as real time pricing, are important offerings that should continue to be presented to the Commission for consideration.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

David E. Ziegner, Commissioner

Scott R. Storms, Chief Administrative Law Judge

Dage